



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,547	04/06/2001	Howard S. Barnett	P-A846	6445	
7590 08/05/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
The White H	ouse on Turtle Creek				
Dallas, TX 75219-4760			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,547

Art Unit: 2643

This letter is to inform the Appellant that revised appeal brief dated 5-25-2005 is still defective as it does not include items: (6) Issues, and (7) Grouping of claims (see attached MPEP pages).

Appellant is given a shortened statuatory period of two months to respond.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melur Kanna Kar Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2643



United States Patent and Trademark Office

PATENTS

Home | Site Index | Search | FAQ | Glossary | Guides | Contacts | eBusiness | eBiz alerts | News | Help

Patents > 1206 Appeal Brief - 1200 Appeal

Go to MPEP - Table of Contents

browse before

1206 Appeal Brief - 1200 Appeal

1206 Appeal Brief

37 CFR 1.192 Appellant's brief.

- (a) Appellant must, within two months from the date of the notice of appeal under § 1.191 or within the time allowed for reply to the action from which the appeal was taken, if such time is later, file a brief in triplicate. The brief must be accompanied by the fee set forth in § 1.17(c) and must set forth the authorities and arguments on which appellant will rely to maintain the appeal. Any arguments or authorities not included in the brief will be refused consideration by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, unless good cause is shown.
- (b) On failure to file the brief, accompanied by the requisite fee, within the time allowed, the appeal shall stand dismissed.
- (c) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated below unless the brief is filed by an applicant who is not represented by a registered practitioner:
- (1) Real party in interest. A statement identifying the real party in interest, if the party named in the caption of the brief is not the real party in interest.
- (2) Related appeals and interferences. A statement identifying by number and filing date all other appeals or interferences known to appellant, the appellant's legal representative, or assignee which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.
- (3) Status of claims. A statement of the status of all the claims, pending or cancelled, and identifying the claims appealed.
- (4) Status of amendments. A statement of the status of any amendment filed subsequent to final rejection.
- √(5) Summary of invention. A concise explanation of the invention defined in the claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line

number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

- (6) Issues. A concise statement of the issues presented for review.
 - (7) Grouping of claims. For each ground of rejection which appellant contests and which applies to a group of two or more claims, the Board shall select a single claim from the group and shall decide the appeal as to the ground of rejection on the basis of that claim alone unless a statement is included that the claims of the group do not stand or fall together and, in the argument under paragraph (c)(8) of this section, appellant explains why the claims of the group are believed to be separately patentable. Merely pointing out differences in what the claims cover is not an argument as to why the claims are separately patentable.
 - (8) Argument. The contentions of appellant with respect to each of the issues presented for review in paragraph (c)(6) of this section, and the basis therefor, with citations of the authorities, statutes, and parts of the record relied on. Each issue should be treated under a separate heading.
 - (i) For each rejection under **35 U.S.C. 112**, first paragraph, the argument shall specify the errors in the rejection and how the first paragraph of **35 U.S.C. 112** is complied with, including, as appropriate, how the specification and drawings, if any,
 - (A) Describe the subject matter defined by each of the rejected claims,
 - (B) Enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the subject matter defined by each of the rejected claims, and
 - (C) Set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his or her invention.
 - (ii) For each rejection under **35 U.S.C. 112**, second paragraph, the argument shall specify the errors in the rejection and how the claims particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - (iii) For each rejection under **35 U.S.C. 102**, the argument shall specify the errors in the rejection and why the rejected claims are patentable under **35 U.S.C. 102**, including any specific limitations in the rejected claims which are not described in the prior art relied upon in the rejection.
 - (iv) For each rejection under **35 U.S.C. 103**, the argument shall specify the errors in the rejection and, if appropriate, the specific limitations in the rejected claims which are not described in the prior art relied on in the rejection, and shall explain how such limitations render the claimed subject matter unobvious over the prior art. If the rejection is based upon a combination of references, the argument shall explain why the references, taken as a whole, do not suggest the claimed subject matter, and shall include, as may be appropriate, an explanation of why features disclosed in one reference may not properly be combined with features disclosed in another reference. A general argument that all the limitations are not described in a single reference does not satisfy the requirements of this paragraph.